

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,389	09/22/2005	Tatsuya Morikawa	Q89929	1258
23373 7590 11/03/2008 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			HU, HENRY S	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
111111111111111111111111111111111111111			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/550,389 MORIKAWA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit HENRY S. HU 1796 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Election of July 29, 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-9 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/G5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_

Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

1. This Office Action is in response to <u>Election</u> along with its <u>Pre-Amendment</u> both filed on July 29, 2008. Applicants have <u>elected Group I (Claims 1-4) without traverse</u>. With such a pre-amendment, parent composition Claim 1 is amended to incorporate the limitation of Claim 4; Claim 4 and non-elected Claim 5 (Group II) are both cancelled, while new process Claims 6-8 and new composition Claim 9 are added. <u>Re-Restriction requirement is found necessary by this Examiner</u>. Claims 1-3 and 6-9 are now pending with only <u>one</u> independent claim (Claim 1). An action follows.

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1, this is based on the preliminary search done by the examiner as well as by examining the references cited in international search report and IDS filed by Applicants.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted as following: Application/Control Number: 10/550,389 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

I. Claims 1-3 and 9, drawn to a graft or a block fluoropolymer comprising at least one kind of a silicone rubber segment and at least one kind of a fluorine containing

elastomer segment.

II. Claims 6-8, drawn to a process of making a graft or a block fluoropolymer of

Group I.

3. Where the group of inventions is claimed in one and the same international application,

the requirement for unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there

is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or

corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall

mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions

considered as a whole, <u>makes over the prior art</u>. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do

not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule

13.2, although they share the special technical feature, this special technical feature does not

define a contribution over the prior art for the following reasons:

4. In view of international search report for PCT/JP03/14184 filed on November 7, 2003 by

Applicants, Examiner's own prior art search as well as the references or articles cited in two IDS

filed so far by Applicants, Claims 1-3 and 6-9 is either obvious or anticipated by following:

Kojima et al. (US 4,314,043), Heeks et al. (US 5,736,250) and Konno et al. (US 5,141,991),

 Application/Control Number: 10/550,389 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

in the preparation as well as its application since they are structurally different. The scope of the claims, i.e., the metes and boundaries are distinct. Accordingly, the special technical feature linking the inventions, the book or graft fluoropolymer having two specified segments from Group I and Group II does not provide a contribution over the prior art, and no single general inventive concept exists. Therefore the restriction is appropriate.

- so With respect to the fact that "both groups are <u>structurally different</u> each other", Group I was drawn to <u>a graft or a block fluoropolymer</u> comprising at least one kind of <u>a silicone</u> <u>rubber segment</u> and at least one kind of <u>a fluorine containing elastomer segment</u>, while Group II was drawn to <u>a process of making a graft or a block fluoropolymer</u>. The fluoropolymer and its process of making are each unique and thereby not interchangeable. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed in Group II can be applied to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed in Group I can be made by another and materially different process.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above shown as different subject matters and the search required for each group is not required for other groups have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1796

7. It is noted that one phone call was made to Abraham J. Rosner (registration # 33,276, tel: 202 293-7060) on October 20, 2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an
  election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR
  1.143).
- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Henry S. Hu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1103. The examiner can be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM –5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 1796

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dr. Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on (571) 272-1119. 
The fax number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for all regular

communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for

unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about

the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>>. Should you have questions on access to the

Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Peter D. Mulcahy/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

/Henry S. Hu/

Examiner, Art Unit 1796

October 26, 2008